	Case 1:05-cv-00354-DLB Document 24 Filed 12/01/06 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	CHARLES GORTON, 1:05-CV-00354-OWW-DLB-P
12	Plaintiff,) ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
13) APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL v.
14	BICK, et al.,
15)
16	Defendants.
17	
18	Plaintiff has requested the appointment of counsel. The United States Supreme Court
19	has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983
20	cases. Mallard v. United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109
21	S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary
22	assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th
23	Cir. 1997). Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, this court will seek
24	volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases.
25	In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. <u>See</u>
26	Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525. Even if it is assumed that plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has
27	made serious allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This
28	1

Case 1:05-cv-00354-DLB Document 24 Filed 12/01/06 Page 2 of 2 court is faced with similar cases almost daily. Therefore, plaintiff's request for the appointment of counsel shall be denied. In accordance with the above, plaintiff's request for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. **November 30, 2006** /s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 3c0hj8